

# PANEL ATTENDEES INPUTS

LETS GET SOME METRICS ON THIS  
SUBJECT TO ASSIST EXECUTIVE  
COUNSEL (EC) DECISION MAKING

# AGREE OR DISAGREE

The ISSS should enlist senior members to be volunteer mentors and resources for members new to System Safety

The ISSS should be promoting regional one day professional development symposiums by Chapters

# AGREE OR DISAGREE

No need to provide means for better access to membership e-mail and specialty data for networking and sharing of new System Safety related ideas-

# AGREE OR DISAGREE

The benefits for Society membership are clear and persuasive?

# AGREE OR DISAGREE

The ISSS remains adequately abreast of the new hazard risks avoidance techniques and activities?

# AGREE OR DISAGREE

The ISSS provides satisfactory promotion of better system safety employment opportunities-

# AGREE OR DISAGREE

The ISSS is effectively promoting the gaining of new, and retention of current members-

# AGREE OR DISAGREE

The ISSS should have ongoing activity to establish and maintain an indorsed glossary of System Safety related terminology, and to reply to misuse by others



# AGREE OR DISAGREE -2

The ISSS should have ongoing activity to establish and maintain an approved glossary of System Safety related terminology, and to reply to misuse by others

The ISSS should enlist senior members to be volunteer mentors and resources for members new to System Safety

The ISSS should be promoting regional one day professional development symposiums by Chapters

Chapters should be inviting key government agency safety decision makers to participate in these symposiums to encourage incorporation of system safety concept requirements in their regulations / specifications

# AGREE OR DISAGREE - 3

Promoting the application of System Safety in the automotive industry is best accomplished by:

- a. Explain the benefits of SS to their safety and design engineering staff managers
- b. Explain the cost benefits of reducing recalls and lawsuits to their legal and finance staff.
- c. Convincing the DOT that their current prescription type regulation (49CFR) does not adequately address pre-accident design related hazard identification and resolution. Design hazard identification and control provisions need to be included in their regulation.

# AGREE OR DISAGREE -4

The ISSS should be taking action to better define who is a **professional** System Safety practitioner, and their appropriate function within an organization

The ISSS should be promoting an authoritative criteria for the academic training of System Safety Professionals.

The ISSS should be taking a leadership roll in identifying where beneficial cooperation with other professional societies and organizations would be effective, such as:

- a. Joint Conferences that clarify the interactions between System Safety, **Resilience Engineering**, Human Factors, Bio-Environmental Engineering, etc
- b. Forming an “ Association of System Effectiveness Professionals” with other appropriate organization to help overcome resource, and name recognitions shortcomings.

# AGREE OR DISAGREE -4

The ISSS should be taking a leadership roll in identifying where beneficial cooperation with other professional societies and organizations would be effective, such as:

- a. Joint Conferences that clarify the interactions between System Safety, **Resilience Engineering**, Human Factors, Bio-Environmental Engineering, etc.
- b. Forming an “Association of System Effectiveness Professionals” with other appropriate organization to help overcome resource, and name recognitions shortcomings.

# AGREE OR DISAGREE -4

The ISSS should be taking action to better define who is a **professional** System Safety practitioner, and their appropriate function within an organization

# AGREE OR DISAGREE – 4

The ISSS should be promoting a recommended criteria for the academic training of System Safety Professionals

# NEW ISSUES

**Resilience Engineering:** “Redefining the culture of Safety and Risk Management”. (per David D. Woods of Ohio State University)

Its proponents are suggesting that the era of System Safety is over, and due its shortcoming will be replaced with an entire new approach which is attuned to the current concerns of environmental catastrophe, software security, and militant terrorism. Todays systems must not only be designed to be safe in normal conditions, they need to be resilient to recover to a safe mode after being subjected to adverse conditions and attacks.

Is this a fair assessment?

What should the ISSS response be?